

A state can benefit influence global interaction to benefit itself if it is powerful. A nation is powerful if it ranks high in hard power (economic, military power) and soft power (culture, politics, foreign policies.) for example, USA. (the most powerful nation).

① ENSURE TO PROVIDE

Having more "Hard Power" compared to other nations is essential in having a "superpower" status. like the U.S.

A CLEAR AIM

↓

Military aspect: The U.S has unrivalled projection of military power with superior technology than China & Russia. It has a larger presence across the globe too. Specially surrounding China. (China has not too many.)

WELL STRUCTURED

Economic aspect: The Dollar is the currency to be reckoned with. Specifically, the petrodollar. Also, the US's ability to levy sanctions on nations it wants to pressure, in concert with other allied nations is rivaled by none.

CLEARLY OUTLINED

Culturally: US culture is virtually global and is being spread more and more through the US foreign bureaucracy each day. Also, the culture the US has spread doesn't apply solely to the general populace, but to the way of governance of nations themselves. For instance, the principle of freedom of navigation. (Victory of US's soft power)

CONCLUSION ? IF SO ITS TOO LONG!

Soft power is being used to in changing & influencing social and public opinion through relatively less transparent channels. Japan's culture has spread around the world: Sushi, Manga comics and cartoons like Pokemon are part of global culture. India's Bollywood cinema is a global export too. It makes ~~being~~ about \$2 billion dollars each year.

The most powerful nation, ^{US} is also a trend-setter in foreign policies, and any nations that have their own plans are ostracized & pressured. Russia & China are forging unactive policies, not innovative policies.

Finally, when a nation is said to be using global interactions, for its ~~own~~ benefits, is it true that everyone in the country is benefiting? Every citizen? Every HQ. of an organisation? Everyone and everything? According to me, Globalization is not a zero-sum game. Its just that the real incomes gains per capita are unevenly distributed in powerful states.

In other terms, global interaction is another name for the modern system of exploitation of the resources of less-developed [poor = weak] countries by more-developed [rich = powerful] countries through economic, financial & political strategies rather than by the historical means of military conquest and colonization.